

Epsom and Ewell parking review 2025: Decisions report

A document explaining our final decisions on proposed parking schemes following public feedback

The Epsom and Ewell parking review 2023 proposals, which were agreed by county councillors, were advertised from 30 January 2025 for a period of 4 weeks. As part of this process, street notices were erected at each location and notification letters were posted to those properties immediately adjacent to the proposed changes.

All of these documents referred members of the public to drawings and a statement of reasons available online via our Epsom and Ewell parking review 2025 webpage.

Responses to the advertisement were received via an online form through the webpage, by email or by letter. Responses had to be received by 27 February 2025.

During the advertising period we received around 260 responses. All responses have been read and considered in full. For the purpose of this report the responses have been summarised and a decision on how to proceed following these considered responses.

The decisions made in the report are final and there is no appeal process. Any further requests for changes to these agreed restrictions will need to be submitted as part of a future parking review of Epsom and Ewell.

At locations where no objections or comments were received there is no analysis and the proposals will, unless otherwise stated, be introduced as advertised, in other words, without any changes from the advertised proposals. Where changes have been made, there will usually be a revised drawing in addition to the written description.



SURREY
COUNTY COUNCIL

Contents

Ewell Court, Auriol and Cuddington division proposals	4
Worcester Park.....	4
Avon Close.....	4
Salisbury Road, Cuddington Avenue, Edenfield Gardens, Cudas Close, Vale Road and Newbury Gardens	4
Auriol Close and Auriol Park Road.....	4
Ewell.....	4
Ravensfield Gardens	4
Kingston Road (service road).....	5
Riverview Road.....	5
Tealing Drive.....	5
West Ewell division proposals	7
Ewell.....	7
Derek Avenue	7
Woodland Walk.....	7
Gatley Avenue	7
Poplar Crescent and Nightingale Drive	7
Vernon Close	8
Gadesden Road.....	8
Northcroft Road and Plough Road	8
Meadowview Road.....	8
Blenheim Road	9
Ewell division proposals	10
Stoneleigh	10
Woodstone Avenue.....	10
Stoneleigh Broadway	10
Ewell.....	10
Ewell Bypass.....	10
Beaufort Way	10
Mill Lane	11
Glyn Close	11
High Street, Ewell	11
Reigate Road.....	12
Cheam Road.....	12
St Normans way.....	12
Nursery Close	12

Epsom West division proposals	14
Epsom	14
Long Grove Road.....	14
Windmill Lane	14
East Street	14
Hook Road and Woodstock Court.....	14
Temple Road	15
Gosfield Road	15
Manor Green Road and Meadway	15
Hookfield	15
Marshalls Close	16
Parklawn Avenue	16
Parklawn Avenue and Eastdean Avenue.....	16
Bramble Walk and Lewins Road	16
Woodlands Road	17
Linden Place	17
Epsom Town and Downs division proposals.....	18
Epsom	18
Alexandra Road	18
Church Road and Wimborne Close	18
High Street Epsom.....	18
Rosebank.....	18
White Horse Drive.....	19
Wheelers Lane.....	19
Ashley Road.....	19
St Martins Avenue.....	19
Downs Hill Road	20
Highridge Close	20
Worple Road.....	20
Hylands Road, Woodcote Green Road, Hylands Close and Woodcote Park Road .	21
Town Centre resident permit zone G	21
Langley Vale.....	21
Beaconsfield Road.....	21
Rosebery Road, Harding Road	22
Rosebery Road	22

Ewell Court, Auriol and Cuddington division proposals

The county councillor for this division is [Mr Eber Kington](#).

Worcester Park

Avon Close

Overview:

- Drawing number 1
- Feedback: 0
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal was to extend the existing double yellow lines into Avon Close to prevent parking so close to the junction. We did not receive any feedback for this proposal. The decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Salisbury Road, Cuddington Avenue, Edenfield Gardens, Cudas Close, Vale Road and Newbury Gardens

Overview:

- Drawing numbers 2,3 and 4
- Objections: 4
- Other comments: 5
- Support: 3
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposals was to extend the hours of operation for the current school restrictions surrounding Auriol Junior School and The Mead School. Over all the comments were in favour of a change, including some of the other comments. There were around 140 letters sent to all residents affected by the proposals, with such a small percentage of feedback objecting to the proposals, we assume that all others are in favour. The decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Auriol Close and Auriol Park Road

Overview:

- Drawing number 3
- Feedback: 0
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal was to introduce double yellow lines at the junction to prevent obstructive parking. We did not receive any feedback for this proposal. The decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Ewell

Ravensfield Gardens

Overview:

- Drawing number 6
- Objections: 12
- Other comments: 1
- Support: 2
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal was to introduce double yellow lines on the bend adjacent to number 5 Ravensfield Gardens, this is to prevent obstructive parking and improve access from Thorndon Gardens into Ravensfield Gardens. The 12 objections came from 2 addresses, therefore would only be counted as 2. This means that those for and against is even. The other comment supported the double yellow lines proposals on the bend but not in place of single yellow lines. As this is considered a safety issue and is to improve access, the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Kingston Road (service road)**Overview:**

- Drawing number 7
- Feedback: 0
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposals is to provide double yellow lines to the access between numbers 366 and 370 Kingston Road, to assist refuse vehicles and improve access to the rear of the shopping parade. We did not receive any feedback, so the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Riverview Road**Overview:**

- Drawing number 8
- Objections: 1
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to extend the double yellows lines on the approach to the junction with Ruxley Lane and to extend the current hours of restriction on the northern side of Riverview Road so that they align with school drop off and pick up times, this is again to prevent obstructive parking during those times. The objection outlines fears that this will lead to the whole area being restricted. These proposals are only to assist with access into this area and deal with some of the school time parking issues which also affect access. There are no proposals to extend restrictions further. The decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Tealing Drive**Overview:**

- Drawing number 7
- Feedback: 0
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to extend the current double yellow lines to improve access into private driveways. We did not receive any feedback, so the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

West Ewell division proposals

The county councillor for this division is Mrs Jan Mason.

Ewell

Derek Avenue

Overview:

- Drawing number 9
- Objections: 1
- Support: 1
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

Extend double yellow lines at the junction with Chessington Road to prevent obstructive parking, improve access and increase forward visibility.

Also to extend the double yellow lines from Amis Avenue, southwards to prevent high sided vehicles parking near the junction.

As the feedback is evenly split and the issue is related to safety concerns, the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Woodland Walk

Overview:

- Drawing number 9
- Feedback: 0
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal was to introduce double yellow lines at the junction to prevent obstructive parking. As there has been no feedback, the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Gatley Avenue

Overview:

- Drawing number 10
- Feedback: 0
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to introduce double yellow lines to the south western side of Gatley Avenue, to improve access. As there has been no feedback, the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Poplar Crescent and Nightingale Drive

Overview:

- Drawing number 10
- Feedback: 0
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to introduce double yellow lines at the junction to prevent obstructive parking. As there has been no feedback, the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Vernon Close**Overview:**

- Drawing number 11
- Support: 1
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to extend double yellow lines towards the turning head to prevent obstructive parking. The only feedback is in support of the proposal and it is a safety concern, therefore the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Gadesden Road**Overview:**

- Drawing number 11
- Objections: 4
- Other comments: 2
- Support: 1
- Final decision is not to proceed

Analysis:

The proposal was to introduce double yellow lines on the western side of Gadesden Road between Poole Road and Oakhurst Road, however local residents do not think this proposal is required, so the decision has been made not to proceed.

Northcroft Road and Plough Road**Overview:**

- Drawing number 12
- Feedback: 0
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to introduce double yellow lines at the corner to prevent obstructive parking. We did not receive any feedback for this proposal therefore the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Meadowview Road**Overview:**

- Drawing number 16
- Feedback: 0
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to remove the existing disabled bay from in front of number 30 Meadowview Road as it is no longer required. The decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Blenheim Road

Overview:

- Drawing number 22
- Feedback: 0
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to introduce double yellow lines at accesses and on corners to prevent obstructive parking. We did not receive any feedback for this proposal therefore the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Ewell division proposals

The county councillor for this division is Mr John Beckett.

Stoneleigh

Woodstone Avenue

Overview:

- Drawing number 5
- Feedback: 0
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

This proposal is to extend the existing double yellow lines to prevent obstructive parking. We did not receive any feedback therefore the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Stoneleigh Broadway

Overview:

- Drawing number 47
- Feedback: 0
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

Surrey county council advertised parking proposals on Stoneleigh Broadway for the new development at Stoneleigh Station, the development has now been completed but the proposed new dropped kerb was not introduced as per the original layout plans.

This has meant we have had to rearrange the parking bays to accommodate the current situation and make the disabled bays accessible via the dropped kerb.

We did not receive any feedback therefore the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Ewell

Ewell Bypass

Overview:

- Drawing number 13
- Feedback: 0
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to introduce double yellow lines on both sides of the access road leading to numbers 1 to 41 Ewell Bypass, to prevent obstructive parking and improve access. We did not receive any feedback therefore the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Beaufort Way

Overview:

- Drawing number 14
- Objections: 2
- Final decision is to proceed with amendments

Analysis:

The original proposal was to replace the existing single yellow line with double yellow lines to prevent obstructive parking on both sides. The feedback suggests that this will just move parking further into the residential area and not resolve the issues.

The original request referred to issues with parking on the pavement side and making access for pedestrians, wheelchair users and pushchairs difficult.

The decision has therefore been made to only implement double yellow lines on the pavement side and leave the single yellow line opposite as it is.

The decision has been made to proceed with amendments.

Mill Lane**Overview:**

- Drawing number 15
- Support: 3
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

This proposal is to amend the current restrictions for the resident permit scheme in Mill Lane to mirror the new restrictions on Kingston Road. The feedback is positive therefore the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Glyn Close**Overview:**

- Drawing number 17
- Objections: 31
- Support: 1
- Final decision is not to proceed

Analysis:

The proposal was to introduce double yellow lines in place of all existing single yellow lines and to convert all existing bays into time limited bays. The feedback against the proposal is overwhelming therefore the decision has been made to not proceed.

High Street, Ewell**Overview:**

- Drawing number 17
- Objections: 1
- Other comments: 2
- Support: 5
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to introduce double yellow lines on both sides between West Street and the bus stop adjacent to the Dog Gates, due to inconsiderate parking on extremely narrow footpaths.

The feedback is in favour of the proposal and as this is a safety issue for pedestrians, the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Reigate Road

Overview:

- Drawing number 18
- Feedback: 0
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to introduce double yellow lines on the approach to Ewell High Street and the access adjacent to number 2 Reigate Road. We did not receive any feedback therefore the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Cheam Road

Overview:

- Drawing number 19
- Other comment: 1
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to extend the existing double yellow lines to prevent obstructive parking on the pavement, improving access and increasing forward visibility for motorists and improving safety for pedestrians.

The other comment that we did receive was a generalised comments about parking throughout the area and enforcement, it was not against this proposal, therefore the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

St Normans way

Overview:

- Drawing number 19
- Objections: 1
- Support: 2
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to extend the existing double yellow lines to prevent obstructive parking, improve access. The feedback is in favour of the proposal, and this is a traffic safety issue, therefore the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Nursery Close

Overview:

- Drawing number 20
- Objections: 1
- Final decision is to proceed with amendments

Analysis:

The proposal was to extend the existing double yellow lines to prevent obstructive parking, improve access.

The objection makes a valid point in that, by extending the double yellow lines half as far as they were originally proposed, it helps with the access issues, maintains some parking and prevents drivers from accelerating too fast into the close.

The decision has therefore been made to proceed with amendments.

Epsom West division proposals

The county councillor for this division is Mrs Bernie Muir.

Epsom

Long Grove Road

Overview:

- Drawing number 21
- Objections: 8
- Final decision is not to proceed

Analysis:

The proposal was to introduce double yellow lines in the dead end section of Long Grove Road, adjacent to Southfield Park Primary School, however the feedback suggests that this proposal is not wanted as it will affect local residents with overnight parking. The decision has been made to not proceed with these proposals.

Windmill Lane

Overview:

- Drawing number 23
- Feedback: 0
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

This proposal is to extend double yellow lines on the south western side to improve access for residents and increase forward visibility. We did not receive any objections therefore the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

East Street

Overview:

- Drawing number 23
- Objections: 1
- Support: 2
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to introduce a time limited parking bay as all parking facilities were previously removed, anticipated layby had never been introduced and this has greatly affected the businesses in the area. The only objection was from a resident asking us to introduce more resident parking in the area, which we cannot do at this stage. The decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Hook Road and Woodstock Court

Overview:

- Drawing number 26
- Other comments: 1
- Support: 2
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to extend the current restriction to 24 hours a day, seven days a week. The other comment is actually in favour of this change, therefore the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Temple Road

Overview:

- Drawing number 27
- Objections: 1
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to introduce double yellow lines on the western side between Waterloo Road and Hazon Way to prevent obstructive parking and increase forward visibility. Although we did receive one objection, when we take into account the original request and the road safety issues, the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Gosfield Road

Overview:

- Drawing number 27
- Feedback: 0
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

There is currently a very small section of single yellow line that is unenforceable, so we are proposing to make it into double yellow lines as an extension to the existing restrictions. We did not receive any feedback, so the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Manor Green Road and Meadway

Overview:

- Drawing number 29
- Support: 2
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to introduce double yellow lines around the electricity substation at the junction of Manor Green Road and Meadway, to prevent obstructive parking and improve junction safety. We did not receive any negative feedback therefore the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Hookfield

Overview:

- Drawing numbers 30 and 31
- Other comments: 2
- Objections: 2
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to remove the permit allocation part of the existing parking bays as permits have never been issued in this area, making the bays unenforceable. There is a fear that this could lead to unwanted parking in these bays, however if we restrict the bays at this stage as it will make it more difficult for residents and visitors to park. The bays cannot

continue as they are as residents do not want to pay the current permit prices. The decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Marshall's Close

Overview:

- Drawing number 30
- Other comments: 1
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

Remove a section of double yellow lines from in front of garages at the end of Marshall's Close to allow residents to park in front of their garages without being ticketed. The only objection did not actually object to this proposal but asked for the times in the resident scheme to be amended. We could only do this if we receive a petition. The decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Parklawn Avenue

Overview:

- Drawing number 32
- Objections: 1
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to extend the existing double yellow lines to prevent obstructive parking, improve access and increase forward visibility. The objection relates to reduction in parking, however this is a safety issue, so the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Parklawn Avenue and Eastdean Avenue

Overview:

- Drawing number 32
- Objections: 1
- Other comments: 1
- Support: 3
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to introduce double yellow lines at the junction to prevent obstructive parking, improve access and increase forward visibility. As the comments are generally in favour and this is a safety issue, the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Bramble Walk and Lewins Road

Overview:

- Drawing number 35
- Objections: 20
- Other comments: 1
- Final decision is not to proceed

Analysis:

The proposal was to introduce double yellow lines at the corners adjacent to numbers 50, 52 and 20 Bramble Walk to prevent obstructive parking, however the feedback suggests

that these proposals are not wanted by residents. The decision has been made to not proceed with these proposals.

Woodlands Road

Overview:

- Drawing number 44
- Objections: 4
- Support: 1
- Final decision is not to proceed

Analysis:

The proposal was to introduce double yellow lines in an effort to prevent vehicles from driving over the green area. Residents who live immediately adjacent to the proposals have objected as they consider that there are other solutions and that it will affect their day-to-day parking and access. The decision has been made not to proceed with these proposals.

Linden Place

Overview:

- Drawing number 45
- Objections: 1
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposals is that the police and borough council are relocating to no.64 to 74 east Street, which is on the corner of Linden Place. The current parking situation means that there would be restricted access for police vehicles, it is therefore proposed to introduce double yellow lines on the southeastern side to prevent any obstructive parking issues, as the access is via Linden Place. The decision has been made to proceed with the proposals based on the access needs for the police.

Epsom Town and Downs division proposals

The county councillor for this division is Mr Steven McCormick.

Epsom

Alexandra Road

Overview:

- Drawing number 24
- Feedback: 0
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to introduce double yellow lines across access for car park, this is to prevent obstructive parking and increase forward visibility. This will not be shown visually on the ground, but will be in place by order, therefore any pavement parking in the area can be enforced. The decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Church Road and Wimborne Close

Overview:

- Drawing number 25
- Feedback: 0
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to remove disabled parking bays outside 75 Church Road and 30 Wimborne Close as they are no longer needed. The decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

High Street Epsom

Overview:

- Drawing number 28
- Feedback: 0
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to introduce a no loading at any time restriction adjacent to the Clock Tower to prevent obstructive parking, loading and boarding and alighting. As we did not receive any feedback, the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Rosebank

Overview:

- Drawing number 33
- Feedback: 0
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to introduce a disabled parking bay opposite number 12 Rosebank. As we did not receive any feedback, the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

White Horse Drive

Overview:

- Drawing number 34
- Objection: 1
- Support: 1
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to introduce school keep clear markings outside of Rosebery School. These markings have been here historically but have never been enforceable. As this is a road safety outside of school issue, the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Wheelers Lane

Overview:

- Drawing number 34
- Objections: 1
- Support: 1
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to introduce a section of double yellow lines on the bend between the existing double yellows and parking bays to prevent vehicles parking on the bend, restricting carriageway width. The only objection was referring to the difficulty that a resident would have washing their car in this location. The safety needs far outweigh this issue, therefore the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Ashley Road

Overview:

- Drawing numbers 36 and 37
- Objections: 4
- Other comments: 1
- Support: 1
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal was to introduce double yellow lines on both sides to prevent obstructive parking on the footway, which is causing access issue for pedestrians, wheelchair users, etc. It has been highlighted that this is only an issue during the daytime on a Sunday, so rather than introducing double yellow lines, the decision has been made to extend the current restriction into Sundays. Proceed with amendments.

St Martins Avenue

Overview:

- Drawing number 37
- Feedback: 0
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to revoke the double yellow line that was intended to be introduced as part of the previous parking review. We were unable to implement the marking due to persistent

obstructive parking. We do not consider the removal of these proposals as a safety issue. The decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Downs Hill Road

Overview:

- Drawing number 38
- Other comment: 1
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

This proposal is to extend the existing restriction on the northern side to assist with access and egress to private property. The other comment asked for additional restrictions, which cannot be done at this stage. The decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Highridge Close

Overview:

- Drawing number 38
- Feedback: 0
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to introduce double yellow lines on the corner adjacent to numbers 23 to 28 to prevent obstructive parking and improve access to the garages. We did not receive any feedback therefore the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Mospey Crescent

Overview:

- Drawing number 39
- Objections: 10
- Other comments: 1
- Support: 52
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

We had been asked to introduce a 1 hour restriction to prevent all day commuter parking, as part of the previous parking review. The feedback overwhelming suggests that this proposal is required therefore the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Worple Road

Overview:

- Drawing number 46
- Feedback: 0
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to revoke the current restriction to allow three permit bays to be introduced as an extension to the Chalk Lane permit scheme. We did not receive any feedback, so the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Hylands Road, Woodcote Green Road, Hylands Close and Woodcote Park Road

Overview:

- Drawing number 43
- Objections 7
- Other comments: 2
- Support: 9
- Final decision is to proceed with amendments

Analysis:

We have been asked to introduce a resident permit scheme on the eastern side in the currently unrestricted section. This is to prevent all day hospital parking and antisocial noise throughout the early morning and evening, as well as providing residents with additional parking for themselves and visitors. Also to introduce extensions to the double yellow lines at the southern end with Woodcote Green Road as well as at the junction with Woodcote Park Road and Hylands Close.

Extend the hours of the current restriction on the western side to prevent obstructive parking.

Based on the feedback received, the decision has been made to introduce the resident permit proposals. The double yellow lines proposals on the west side of the road will not go ahead but will instead by a single yellow line with the same restriction of Monday to Friday 8am to 6pm as advertised elsewhere on the road. The junction with Hylands Close and Woodcote Park Road will also become the same restriction. The double yellow line proposal will go ahead as advertised adjacent to number 8 Hylands Road. Proceed with amendments,

Town Centre resident permit zone G

Overview:

- No drawing
- Objections: 20
- Other comments: 1
- Final decision is not to proceed

Analysis:

The proposals was to include residents of numbers 7 and 7A Ashley Road in to the current resident permit zone G. There have been an overwhelming number of objections to this proposal, therefore the decision has been made not to proceed with this proposal.

Langley Vale

Beaconsfield Road

Overview:

- Drawing number 40
- Support: 3
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to revoke part of the current restriction as the residents believe that it hinders them more than is necessary. The feedback supports this proposal therefore the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Rosebery Road, Harding Road

Overview:

- Drawing number 41
- Support: 1
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to introduce double yellow lines on the junction to prevent obstructive parking. The decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Rosebery Road

Overview:

- Drawing number 42
- Othe comment: 1
- Final decision is to proceed with amendments

Analysis:

The proposal was to introduce double yellow lines to assist with access to the bus stop. The feedback however suggested that as buses do not run in the area 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, we should amend the times to reflect this. We have therefore amended the times to Monday to Saturday 6am to 7.30pm. The decision has been made to proceed with amendments.