Guildford parking review 2024: Decision report

A document explaining our final decisions on proposed parking schemes following public advertisement and feedback

Introduction

This document sets out our final decisions about which schemes should go ahead, with or without changes, as part of our Guildford parking review 2024.

Our parking proposals for the Controlled Parking Zone and Parished areas were 'advertised' by way of a press notice published in the Surrey Advertiser on 3rd of October 2025, and there then followed a four week 'objection period' which ended on 31st of October 2025, to allow for the public to make representations regarding the proposals. Guildford Town area proposals were subsequently advertised in the same way from the 12th of December with a closing date of the 7th of January 2026.

To raise awareness of the proposals, in addition to the press notice we also put up site notices where the new restrictions were proposed, and notified people most directly affected by post. This included letters to around 995 households at addresses at or close to the proposal locations. Copies of the proposal documents were made available to view in person at Guildford Library, West Horsley Library, Ash Library and Merrow Depot, Guildford. Copies of the proposed documents were made available on our website, where there were also online forms for people to use to let us have their views.

We received a total of 558 respones to the propsals via our online form, letters, and emails, on 32 of the 42 new parking scheme propsals or Traffic Regulation Order amendments.

This report lists all the proposals and presents a summary of the type and number of comments received, our responses where appropriate, and the final decisions and reasons for them for each one. It does not contain a transcript of each objection made, but, as required by the regulations, each comment and objection was read and considered before any final decisions were made.

SURREY
COUNTY COUNCIL

Only themes considered relevant to the proposals have been mentioned in this summary report. People often raise highway issues that are not part of these proposals, such as:

- Speed limits and enforcement, traffic calming, road safety, road layouts and geometry.
- Creation of additional parking spaces in place of grassed areas or verges.
- Resurfacing, potholes, and highway maintenance.
- Further new or modified parking controls.
- Off street car parks.
- Planning issues.

These are beyond the scope of the parking review and therefore such queries have not been addressed in this analysis. For further information and guidance, please see the Annex 1 – Explanation of restriction types at the bottom of this document.

Having advertised our intention to introduce the parking proposals, the regulations allow us to make minor modifications to them before their introduction without the need for further advertisement. Of course, we can also cancel a proposal entirely.

At locations where no objections or comments were received there is no analysis and the proposals will - unless otherwise stated - be introduced ‘as advertised’ i.e. without any changes from the advertised proposal. Where changes have been made, there will usually be a revised drawing in addition to the written description.

These decisions are now final and there is no appeal stage, although customers can ask us to reconsider any parking controls, whether old or new, at any time as part of the next parking review in the area.

If you are unsure of the meaning or effect of a parking restriction or control that we’ve proposed, please refer to Annex 1 – Explanation of restriction types, found towards the back of this document.

If you would like to know the existing parking restrictions in a given area, please refer to our online parking restrictions maps.

Contents

Introduction 1
Shalford 4
Shalford 4
Compton 5
Ash 5
Tongham 6
Easching 6
Guildford East 7
Burpham 7
Merrow 7
Guildford North 8
Bellfields & Slyfield 8
Stoughton 9
Shere 9
Send 9
Guildford West 10
Westborough 10
Woodbridge Hill 10
Park Barn 11
Guildford South East 11
Ash 14
Ash Vale 14
Ash 14
Guildford South West 15
Horsleys 16
Effingham 17
Effingham Junction 17
Ripley 18
Worplesdon 18
Rydes Hill 18
Normandy 18
E-bike hire spaces 19
Bushy Hill 19
Merrow 19
Burpham 19
Guildford Town 20

Guildford Park 20
Slyfield 21
Westborough 21
Onslow Village 21
Electric Vehicle Only Rapid Charging Parking Place 22
Slyfield 22
Annex 1 – General information 22
Speed limits, traffic calming, and speed enforcement 22
Road safety and sustainable travel for schools 22
Creation of additional parking space on verges or grassed areas 22
Requests for permit parking schemes 22
Requests for additional parking controls 23
Enforcement 23
General enquiries 23

Shalford

The county councillor for this division is Matt Furniss. The original drawings are still available on the Guildford parking review 2024 page on our website for reference.

Shalford

King's Road / Station Road Permit Scheme

Overview:

Drawing numbers: SHAL1-SHAL4
Objections: 136
Other comments: 13
Support: 17
Final decision: Not to implement

Analysis

For several years Shalford has reported issues with availability of space, believed to be a result of commuter parking. Different options have been explored and presented to residents informally. It was then determined that of all the options, a permit scheme would likely be the best way to prioritise residents parking over visitors. A scheme was designed which in the parking team experience would best fit the geographical layout of the roads and tried to balance the need for some visitor parking as local businesses also form part of the community here. We wrote to the residents, and a set of frequently asked questions was included to explain how the scheme would operate as these schemes are not without implications, which residents should be appraised of.

The feedback showed the majority were against the scheme. Many concerns were raised by those residents living in private roads within the proposed area, as enforcement would not cover these roads, subjecting them to displacement. Other comments also raised concerns for local businesses as though some visitor parking would be available, the restrictions

would no doubt have an impact. There was some support for the scheme, and many people appreciated a need for some restrictions but questioned if it was the right approach. Due to the implications of implementing a permit scheme, a high level of support is required to justify such a decision, and, in this case, this has not been reached. It is therefore decided that the proposals are not implemented.

Shalford Disabled Parking Bay

Overview:

Drawing number: SHAL1
Objections: 0
Other comments: 0
Support: 0
Final decision: Not to proceed.

Analysis

Though no objections received, considering the permit scheme is not progressing it has been determined that an enforceable bay is not necessary here and the existing advisory bay will suffice.

Compton

Spiceall

Overview:

Drawing number: GFD37
Objection:0
Other comments:0
Support: 1
Final decision: No objections have been received therefore it is agreed to proceed as advertised.

Ash

Ash Green Lane West

Overview:

Drawing number: GFD35
Objection: 0
Other comments: 0
Support: 0
Final decision: No objections have been received therefore it is agreed to proceed as advertised.

Elm Lane

Overview:

Drawing number: GFD35
Objection: 0
Other comments: 0
Support: 0

Final decision: No objections have been received therefore it is agreed to proceed as advertised.

Grange Road

Overview:

Drawing number: GFD36
Objection: 0
Other comments: 0
Support: 0
Final decision: No objections have been received therefore it is agreed to proceed as advertised.

Tongham

Lamborne Way

Overview:

Drawing number: GFD38
Objection: 0
Other comments: 1
Support: 1
Final decision: No objections have been received therefore it is agreed to proceed as advertised.

Analysis

There are no objections and the other comment expressed concerns about possible displacement into other roads of Lambourne Way, but the restrictions are only at the junction, so we do not anticipate any significant impact.

Hogs Back

Overview:

Drawing number: GFD43
Objection:0
Other comments:0
Support: 0
Final decision: No objections have been received therefore it is agreed to proceed as advertised.

Eashing

Lower Eashing

Overview:

Drawing number: GFD44
Objection: 0
Other comments:0
Support: 1

Final decision: No objections have been received therefore it is agreed to proceed as advertised.

Guildford East

The county councillor for this division is George Potter. The original drawings are still available on the Guildford parking review 2024 page on our website for reference.

Burpham

Burpham Lane

Overview:

Drawing number: GFD4
Objections: 0
Other comments: 1
Support: 0
Final decision: No objections have been received (and the other comment expressed support) therefore it is agreed to proceed as advertised.

Bowers Farm Drive

Overview:

Drawing numbers: GFD4
Objections: 0
Other comments: 0
Support: 0
Final decision: No objections have been received therefore it is agreed to proceed as advertised.

Levylsdene

Overview:

Drawing numbers: GFD5
Objections:0
Other comments: 0
Support: 1
Final decision: No objections have been received therefore it is agreed to proceed as advertised.

Merrow

Merrow Common Road

Overview:

Drawing number: GFD6
Objections: 0
Other comments: 1
Support: 4

Final decision: No objections have been received therefore it is agreed to proceed as advertised.

Analysis

There were no objections and the other comment expressed support, but wanted the restriction to be longer, which we cannot do at this stage of this review.

Guildford North

The county councillor for this division is Julia McShane. The original drawings are still available on the Guildford parking review 2024 page on our website for reference.

Bellfields & Slyfield

Bellfields Road, Woodlands Road, Slyfield Green, Old Farm Road

Overview:

Drawing number: WUV1, WUV2, WUV3 and WUV4.
Objection: 13
Other comments: 7
Support: 2
Final decision: Implement in full

Analysis

Objections were largely around concerns that the parking, which is already heavily relied upon by industrial estate staff, will become even more challenging for residents. Other comments also suggested that measures such as time limited parking and permit schemes to prioritise residents parking should be introduced. As part of the WUV development, parking surveys will take place at regular intervals to ensure the parking levels along Woodlands Road and Slyfield Green are appropriate, and that there are not overcapacity issues that require addressing. Should an issue be identified in a future survey of the roads, then a controlled parking zone or alternative measure will be introduced to limit parking to purely residents.

There were some queries relating to whether disabled drivers could park and residents could receive deliveries. There are certain exemptions for both Blue Badge holders and loading and unloading to take place on Double Yellow Lines. We only consider installing a dedicated blue badge bay if there is an eligible resident nearby as this ensures space is not redundant most of the time.

Cypress Road

Overview:

Drawing number: GFD9
Objection: 5
Other comments: 1
Support: 0
Final decision: Implement in part.

Analysis

The feedback disagrees that the parking here is impacting the bus service and suggests that other proposals / development in the area is likely to put more pressure on parking here.

There was an understanding that parking on the junction impacts safety and therefore it is recommended that the proposals are implemented in part to balance the needs of safety with accessible parking for residents. If parking becomes more obstructive, additional restrictions can be revisited in the future.

Stoughton

Ardmore Avenue

Overview:

Drawing number: GFD8
Objections: 6
Other comments: 0
Support: 1
Final decision: Not to implement

Analysis

It was believed that parking on the corner here was not favoured by the majority of residents however the feedback did not support this. Due to this being a low traffic, residential street and lack of support for such restrictions it is decided that the proposals are not implemented.

Johnston Walk

Overview:

Drawing numbers: GFD 7
Objections: 11
Other comments: 4
Support: 2
Final decision: Implement in part.

Analysis

Problems with cars parking on the service road and junctions. Whilst there was a number of objections to the scheme, this was largely to the extent of the restrictions in the service roads. Restrictions around the junctions were considered reasonable to improve safety, visibility and access and therefore it is recommended that the proposals are implemented in part.

Shere

The county councillor for this division is Robert Hughes. The original drawings are still available on the Guildford parking review 2024 page on our website for reference.

Send

Potters Lane

Overview:

Drawing number: GFD39 & 40
Objections: 16
Other comments: 3
Support: 4

Final decision: Implement in part.

Analysis

Problems here were predominantly reported around the pub and the junction with the A247 but there were also some concerns around congestion at peak times. To improve traffic flow, restrictions were used to try and create defined passing places whilst maintaining maximum parking opportunities, so these restrictions were in front of accesses where possible. However, residents feedback was not supportive of this level of restriction, and it is appreciated that there are a lot of properties which rely on on-street parking here. It is therefore recommended that the restrictions are only implemented around the junctions with the A247, Wey Close and Worsfold Close.

Guildford West

The county councillor for this division is Fiona White. The original drawings are still available on the Guildford parking review 2024 page on our website for reference.

Westborough

Vernon Way

Overview:

Drawing number: GFD24
Objections: 2
Other comments: 0
Support: 0
Final decision: Not to implement

Analysis

Though vehicles block the turning area here, there was no support for this despite the initial request. Considering this is more of an access issue than a safety issue, it is decided not to implement.

Southway

Overview:

Drawing number: GFD25
Objections: 0
Other comments: 0
Support: 9
Final decision: No objections received so implement in full

Woodbridge Hill

Parkhurst Road

Overview:

Drawing number: GFD26
Objections: 68
Other comments: 1
Support: 1

Final decision: Not to implement

Analysis

There was clearly a lot of opposition to controls here. Congestion due to the parking was observed during the site visit and the restrictions were proposed to push parking to one side and prevent double parking. It is appreciated that this is not the resolution desired by residents and therefore the proposals should be dropped.

Bridgehill Close

Overview:

Drawing number: GF27
Objections: 0
Other comments: 0
Support: 1
Final decision: No objections have been received therefore it is agreed to proceed as advertised.

Park Barn

Greville Close

Overview:

Drawing number: GFD28
Objections: 1
Other comments: 1
Support: 0
Final decision: Implement as advertised.

Analysis

The one objection refers to how long it takes to implement and remove these bays which is not ideal when parking is challenging. This is appreciated but the process is determined by legislation and this is the reason we only implement enforceable bays when necessary. In this case implementing the proposals will ensure that the unused bay is able to be utilised again and the new bay is designated for the other user's access. The other comment was about other parking in the road, which cannot be addressed at this stage of this review.

Guildford South East

The county councillor for this division is Catherine Houston. The original drawings are still available on the Guildford parking review 2024 page on our website for reference.

Guildford Town

Addison & Cline Road

Overview:

Drawing number: GFD10
Objections: 110
Other comments: 3
Support: 7

Final decision: not to implement

Analysis

This was proposed after space on this road was considered challenging for resident permit holders. Resident permit holders reported issues not being able to find space and it was felt some residents were able to navigate not having a permit and this was unfair to those that do. Whilst some feedback confirmed this, it was clear that the majority did not support this change and so it is decided that these proposals are dropped.

South Hill

Overview:

Drawing number: GFD11
Objections: 2
Other comments: None
Support: 2
Final decision: Implement in full.

Analysis

This was a historic request which was received before the policy was introduced not to removed parking in the CPZ to facilitate Vehicle Crossovers. Whilst 2 objections were received on the grounds that parking here is challenging for residents, it is balanced with the circumstances of the application and the fact it will provide off street parking and taking pressure off the road.

Lancaster Avenue

Overview:

Drawing number: GFD12
Objections: 0
Other comments: 0
Support: 0
Final decision: No objections have been received therefore it is agreed to proceed as advertised.

Queens Road

Overview:

Drawing number: GFD13
Objections: 0
Other comments: 0
Support: 0
Final decision: No objections have been received therefore it is agreed to proceed as advertised.

West Road

Overview:

Drawing number: GFD12
Objections: None
Other comments: None

Support: None
Final decision: No objections have been received therefore it is agreed to proceed as advertised.

One Tree Hill Road

Overview:

Drawing number: GFD14
Objections: 1
Other comments: 0
Support: 1
Final decision: Implement in part.

Analysis:

Residents near the proposals do not feel both sides are required. Only implement extension to the restrictions on the outside of the bend which balances safety with residents' views.

London Road

Overview:

Drawing number: GFD15 &16
Objections: 5
Other comments:1
Support:4
Final decision: Implement in full.

Analysis

The objections and other comment are mainly around losing the ability to park all day. This is understood and it is not the intention to deter visitors from using the facilities, however there are several vehicles which take advantage of the parking here and do not move for weeks. Without restrictions it is very difficult to ensure these vehicles move on. Though this will impact the ability to park for longer durations for free, it is also felt that having a turnover of space gives more of the public the opportunity to enjoy the amenities. The 4-hour restriction is still a reasonable amount of time and there are alternative longer term parking options in the vicinity.

Cunningham Avenue

Overview:

Drawing number: GFD17
Objections: 5
Other comments: 2
Support: 2
Final decision: Implement in part

Analysis

Mixture of comments here, largely supporting the junction protection but also concerns from parents that there is no parking for the school traffic. Residents seem to agree that just restrictions protecting the junctions will help improve access and this will balance the needs of both user groups. Therefore, the proposals are to be implemented in part.

Ash

The county councillor for this division is Carla Morson. The original drawings are still available on the Guildford parking review 2024 page on our website for reference.

Ash Vale

Station Road East

Overview:

Drawing number: GFD45
Objections: 0
Other comments: 0
Support: 0
Final decision: No objections have been received therefore it is agreed to proceed as advertised.

Ash

Guildford Road

Overview:

Drawing number: GFD1
Objections: 1
Other comments: 0
Support: 0
Final decision: Implement in full

Analysis

An objection was received suggesting that this is not going to solve issues of the access being blocked here because of the proximity of the shop over the road and suggesting that the lay by needs to be moved. This may be the case, but at least with these formalised parking restrictions it will make it clear where is appropriate to park and so should help and moving the lay by is beyond the scope of this review.

Shawfield Road & Star Lane

Overview:

Drawing number: GFD2
Objections: 0
Other comments: 0
Support: 0
Final decision: No objections have been received therefore it is agreed to proceed as advertised.

Ash Hill Road & Cross Road

Overview:

Drawing number: GFD3
Objections: 1

Other comments: 0
Support: 0
Final decision: Implement in full.

Analysis

Only one objection which expressed concerns that these restrictions will push parking outside their house. Unfortunately, displacement can happen, but we have to consider that parking currently impacts visibility and access here. It is therefore reasonable that the restrictions are implemented in full.

Guildford South West

The county councillor for this division is Angela Goodwin. The original drawings are still available on the Guildford parking review 2024 page on our website for reference.

Guildford Town

Bedford Road

Overview:

Drawing number: GFD18
Objections: 0
Other comments: 0
Support: 0
Final decision: No objections have been received therefore it is agreed to proceed as advertised.

Bedford Road Disabled Bays

Overview:

Drawing number: GFD47
Objections: 0
Other comments: 0
Support: 0
Final decision: No objections have been received therefore it is agreed to proceed as advertised.

Recreation Road

Overview:

Drawing number: GFD19
Objections: 0
Other comments: 0
Support:0
Final decision: No objections have been received therefore it is agreed to proceed as advertised.

Walnut Tree Close

Overview:

Drawing number: GFD20
Objections: 0
Other comments: 0
Support: 0
Final decision: No objections have been received therefore it is agreed to proceed as advertised.

Poltimore Road

Overview:

Drawing number: GFD22
Objections: 1
Other comments: 1
Support: 0
Final decision: Not to implement.

Analysis

This was following a request by a resident that this bay, which has access to an Electric Vehicle charger, was always filled with non-electric vehicles. Whilst only one objection, there was no support which makes us question demand. This is a busy road with reliance on on-street parking and this bay being empty is not in the best interest of residents. We can reconsider this in future should we be able to confirm demand in the vicinity.

Alresford Road

Overview:

Drawing number: GFD23
Objections: 0
Other comments: 0
Support: 0
Final decision: No objections have been received therefore it is agreed to proceed as advertised.

Queen Eleanors Road

Overview:

Drawing number: GFD21
Objections: 0
Other comments: 0
Support: 2
Final decision: No objections have been received therefore it is agreed to proceed as advertised.

Horsleys

The county councillor for this division is Dennis Booth. The original drawings are still available on the Guildford parking review 2024 page on our website for reference.

Effingham

The Street

Overview:

Drawing number: GFD 29 & 30
Objections: 7
Other comments:5
Support: 12
Final decision: Implement in full

Analysis

There was a good amount of support for this scheme as the parking issues have been going on for some time, particularly around school times. There were some objections which raised concerns over displacement parking making it difficult for residents to park. Also, some believed more restrictions are required. Unfortunately, there is always going to be some displacement when restrictions are implemented but we will monitor this and we will consider more restrictions if necessary. This scheme was considered a good balance to improve safety without eradicating parking and therefore should be implemented in full.

St Martins Close

Overview:

Drawing number: GFD33
Objections: 36
Other comments:0
Support: 9
Final decision: Implement in part

Analysis

Double parking here causes concerns for emergency access particularly as there is a care home just beyond the junction. Whilst there were significant objections here, there was also a reasonable amount of support, which shows there are issues there. Some of the objections suggested that maybe a reduction in the restrictions would be fair. Therefore, restrictions should be implemented around the junction between the close Ockham Road South and one side of the bend to help visibility and access.

Effingham Junction

Forest Road

Overview:

Drawing number: GFD31 & 32
Objections: 8
Other comments: 1
Support: 4
Final decision: Implement in part

Analysis

There were a number of objections here but also some support. Requests reported issues outside Fairways and up to the junction with The Dell. Clearly these are not supported widely, and the feedback suggests the main issues are around the access to Fairways, therefore only the restrictions around Fairways are to be implemented. This should have minimal impact as there is alternative parking available but will improve access and visibility for Fairways residents.

Ripley

Portsmouth Road

Overview:

Drawing number: GFD34
Objections:0
Other comments:0
Support: 0
Final decision: No objections have been received therefore it is agreed to proceed as advertised.

Worplesdon

The county councillor for this division is Kieth Witham. The original drawings are still available on the Guildford parking review 2024 page on our website for reference.

Rydes Hill

Broadacres

Overview:

Drawing number: GFD41
Objections: 0
Other comments: 0
Support: 3
Final decision: No objections have been received therefore it is agreed to proceed as advertised.

Normandy

School Lane

Overview:

Drawing number: GFD42
Objections: 6
Other comments: 2
Support: 0
Final decision: Implement in part

Analysis

The proposals were designed to move the keep clear marking to cover the main exit to the school and included more restrictions to protect the junction and prevent double parking. Most comments were received from parents concerned they will have difficulty dropping and

collecting their children from school and they raised the point that parking will be displaced further up the road. It is therefore recommended to just implement the keep clear and junction protection which should improve access and safety without creating too much displacement.

E-bike hire spaces

Convert existing restrictions to Electric Bike Hire Parking only in order to help promote more sustainable travel options.

Bushy Hill

Bushy Hill Drive

Overview:

Drawing number: EVC1
Objections: 0
Other comments: 0
Support: 0
Final decision: No objections have been received therefore it is agreed to proceed as advertised.

Merrow

Kingpost Parade

Drawing number: EVC5
Objections: 0
Other comments: 0
Support: 1
Final decision: No objections have been received therefore it is agreed to proceed as advertised.

Burpham

London Road

Overview:

Drawing number: EVC2
Objections: 1
Other comments: 0
Support: 0
Final decision: proceed as advertised.

Analysis

This e-bike cycle stand location was individually assessed as part of the scheme design process, with safety considerations taken into account throughout. While the Council recognises concerns regarding the loss of parking spaces, the reallocation of a small number of spaces supports wider sustainable transport objectives by encouraging alternative travel options and helping to reduce short car journeys and overall parking

demand. The impacts of the scheme will continue to be monitored, and locations reviewed if necessary.

Guildford Town

Highstreet

Drawing number: EVC3
Objections: 0
Other comments: 0
Support: 0
Final decision: No objections have been received therefore it is agreed to proceed as advertised.

Millmead

Drawing number: EVC6
Objections: 0
Other comments: 0
Support: 0
Final decision: No objections have been received therefore it is agreed to proceed as advertised.

Walnut Tree Close

Drawing number: EVC12
Objections: 0
Other comments: 0
Support: 0
Final decision: No objections have been received therefore it is agreed to proceed as advertised.

Upper Edgeborough Road

Drawing number: EVC10
Objections: 2
Other comments: 0
Support: 0
Final decision: proceed as advertised.

Analysis

While the Council recognises concerns regarding the loss of parking spaces, the reallocation of a small number of spaces supports wider sustainable transport objectives by encouraging alternative travel options and helping to reduce short car journeys and overall parking demand. The impacts of the scheme will continue to be monitored, and locations reviewed if necessary.

Guildford Park

Guildford Park Road

Drawing number: EVC4

Objections: 1
Other comments: 0
Support: 0
Final decision: proceed as advertised.

Analysis

This e-bike cycle stand location was individually assessed as part of the scheme design process, with safety considerations taken into account throughout. While the Council recognises concerns regarding the loss of parking spaces, the reallocation of a small number of spaces supports wider sustainable transport objectives by encouraging alternative travel options and helping to reduce short car journeys and overall parking demand. Concerns regarding bikes being left inconsistently or obstructing footways relate to the operational management and are being addressed with the scheme operator through ongoing monitoring, user guidance and enforcement where necessary. The impacts of the scheme will continue to be monitored, and locations reviewed if necessary.

Slyfield

Moorfield Road (West)

Drawing number: EVC7
Objections: 0
Other comments: 0
Support: 0
Final decision: No objections have been received therefore it is agreed to proceed as advertised.

Moorfield Road (East)

Drawing number: EVC8
Objections: 0
Other comments: 0
Support: 0
Final decision: No objections have been received therefore it is agreed to proceed as advertised.

Westborough

Southway

Drawing number: EVC9
Objections: 0
Other comments: 0
Support: 0
Final decision: No objections have been received therefore it is agreed to proceed as advertised.

Onslow Village

Wilderness Road

Drawing number: EVC13

Objections: 0
Other comments: 0
Support: 0
Final decision: No objections have been received therefore it is agreed to proceed as advertised.

Electric Vehicle Only Rapid Charging Parking Place

Slyfield

Moorfield Road

Drawing number: EVC7
Objections: 0
Other comments: 0
Support: 0
Final decision: No objections have been received therefore it is agreed to proceed as advertised.

Annex 1 – General information

This annex contains information about topics that are quite often raised by people when making comments in response to parking proposals but are generally things that are not considered within a parking review, or not possible to consider at that time.

Speed limits, traffic calming, and speed enforcement

Speed limits are introduced by the county council provided Surrey Police agree with the limit proposed. Further information about speed limits can be found on our website at:

Speed limits

Speed limits and traffic calming measures are considered by our local area highway team, and you can raise queries regarding these subjects using the contact details below on the next page. The police are the only authority with powers to enforce speed limits.

Road safety and sustainable travel for schools

Surrey County Council provides a range of services to help schools on this matter, more information can be found at:

Road safety and sustainable travel for schools

Creation of additional parking space on verges or grassed areas

This is not something that is considered by the parking team or within a parking review. Unfortunately, the council has essentially no funding to carry out this type of work at the current time, but any requests for these types of schemes would need to be considered by the local area highway team, who can be reached via the contact details on the next page.

Requests for permit parking schemes

We can consider introducing permit parking schemes under appropriate circumstances. However, such significant changes can't be considered based on only one or two comments. Anyone wanting to find out more about permit parking should first look at our webpage which explains where, why, and how a scheme could be introduced, and how they work, at:

Permit parking schemes

Having read that information, any customers interested in pursuing the idea of permit parking further, should consider raising a parking scheme request form (petition), as explained online at:

The parking review process

Requests for additional parking controls

Due to the legal processes involved, we cannot generally consider further parking restrictions over and above those already 'advertised'. The best way to put forward any ideas for new parking controls is to raise them to be considered as part of the next parking review in the area. Information about parking reviews, including how and why we do them, and how to raise any further requests, is available on our website at: The parking review process

Enforcement

Parking controls on street in Guildford borough are administered and enforced by NSL on behalf of the County Council. If you have any queries or questions about enforcement, you can find answers by visiting the parking enforcement and fines webpage

General enquiries

Any other enquiries regarding highways can be raised via the electronic forms on our website:

Contact our roads and transport service

Or using the contact details below:

- Email: contact.centre@surreycc.gov.uk
- Textphone (via Text Relay): 18001 0300 200 1003
- SMS: 07860 053 465
- VRS: Sign Language Video Relay Service
- FAX: 020 8541 9575
- Address: Contact Centre, 1st Floor, Dakota, De Havilland Drive, Weybridge, Surrey, KT13 0YP
- Telephone: 0300 200 1003 (9am to 5pm, Monday to Friday, excluding bank holidays. Calls to 03 numbers from any network will cost no more than those to 01 and 02 numbers and count as part of any call package. The cost of calls per minute depends on the network. Please check with your provider. BT customers may be able to call 03 numbers for free. **Please note:** Calls may be recorded for training and monitoring purposes.