

# Epsom and Ewell parking review 2022: Decision report

A document explaining our final decisions on proposed parking schemes following public feedback

The Epsom and Ewell parking review 2022 proposals, which were agreed by county councillors, were advertised from 08 September 2022 for a period of 4 weeks. As part of this process, street notices were erected at each location and notification cards were posted to those properties immediately adjacent to the proposed changes.

All of these documents referred members of the public to drawings and a statement of reasons available online via our [Epsom and Ewell parking review 2022](#) webpage.

Responses to the advertisement were received via an online form through the webpage, by email or by letter. Responses had to be received by 06 October 2022.

During the advertising period we received around 100 responses. All responses have been read and considered in full. For the purpose of this report the responses have been summarised and a decision on how to proceed following these considered responses.

The decisions made in the report are final and there is no appeal process. Any further requests for changes to these agreed restrictions will need to be submitted as part of a future parking review of Epsom and Ewell.

At locations where no objections or comments were received there is no analysis and the proposals will, unless otherwise stated, be introduced as advertised, in other words, without any changes from the advertised proposals. Where changes have been made, there will usually be a revised drawing in addition to the written description.



**Contents**

Ewell Court, Auriol and Cuddington division proposals .....	4
Worcester Park.....	4
Badgers Copse .....	4
Auriol Park Road and Northcliffe Close.....	4
Salisbury Road.....	4
Salisbury Road, Timbercroft Road and Cromwell Road.....	4
Ewell.....	5
Timbercroft.....	5
Mavis Avenue .....	5
Ruxley Lane .....	5
Elm Way and Court Farm Avenue .....	5
West Ewell division proposals .....	6
Ewell.....	6
Scotts farm Road .....	6
Poole Road .....	6
Vernon Close .....	6
Epsom .....	6
Sandy Mead.....	6
Jackson Way.....	7
Ewell division proposals .....	8
Stoneleigh .....	8
Cumnor Gardens .....	8
Ewell.....	8
Kingston Road .....	8
Fairfax Avenue and Aragon Avenue .....	8
Ewell Bypass service road .....	8
Church Street.....	9
Hampton Grove.....	9
Cheam.....	9
Holmwood Close.....	9
Epsom West division proposals .....	10
Epsom .....	10
Manor Green Road .....	10
Christ Church Road (access to Stew Ponds car park).....	10
The Greenway .....	10
West Hill permit scheme (Zone R) .....	10

Hawthorne Place permit scheme (Zone E) .....	10
Epsom Town and Downs division proposals .....	12
Ewell .....	12
Dorling Drive .....	12
Mill Road .....	12
Epsom .....	12
Laburnum Road .....	12
St Martins Avenue and Downs Hill Road .....	12
Chalk Lane and Woodcote End .....	13
Bucknills Close .....	13
Yew Tree Gardens and Woodcote Side .....	13
Treadwell Road .....	13
Derby Arms Road .....	13
Beaconsfield Road .....	14
Hylands Road and Digidens Rise .....	14
Town centre resident permit scheme (Zone G) .....	14
Ladbroke Road permit scheme (Zone J) .....	14
Electric vehicle charging bay proposals .....	15
Stoneleigh .....	15
Rosedale Road .....	15
Epsom .....	15
Kiln Lane .....	15
Alexandra Road .....	15
Downs Hill Road Road .....	15

# Ewell Court, Auriol and Cuddington division proposals

The county councillor for this division is [Mr Eber Kington](#).

## Worcester Park

### Badgers Copse

#### Overview:

- Drawing number 1
- No feedback received
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

#### Analysis:

No comments were received for this proposal. This proposal was to extend the current restrictions on both sides further around the bend to improve forward visibility and safety for pedestrians who currently have to negotiate vehicles parked on the footway. The decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

## Auriol Park Road and Northcliffe Close

#### Overview:

- Drawing number 2
- No feedback received
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

#### Analysis:

No comments were received for this proposal. This proposal was to introduce double yellow lines at the junction to prevent obstructive parking, improve access and increase forward visibility. The decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

## Salisbury Road

#### Overview:

- Drawing number 2
- No Feedback received
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

#### Analysis:

No comments were received for this proposal. This proposal was to introduce double yellow lines at the access to the new development to improve access and increase forward visibility for those using it. The decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

## Salisbury Road, Timbercroft Road and Cromwell Road

#### Overview:

- Drawing number 3
- Other comments: 1
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

#### Analysis:

The other comment is asking if the proposals could be extended, however we cannot introduce more restrictive measures without re-advertising, so this will need to be looked at as part of another parking review. The decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

## **Ewell**

### **Timbercroft**

#### **Overview:**

- Drawing numbers 4
- Objections: 3 we all received a petition signed by 47 residents
- Support: 1
- Final decision is not to proceed

#### **Analysis:**

There has been an overwhelming number of objections to this proposal, which was to extend the single yellow line that was introduced as part of the last parking review. The decision has been made not to proceed with the proposals.

### **Mavis Avenue**

#### **Overview:**

- Drawing number 5
- No feedback received
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

#### **Analysis:**

No comments were received for this proposal. This proposal was to extend existing double yellow lines at junction to improve access to Mavis Avenue from the dual carriageway and prevent obstructive parking. The decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

### **Ruxley Lane**

#### **Overview:**

- Drawing number 6
- No feedback received
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

#### **Analysis:**

No comments were received for this proposal. This proposal was to extend double yellow lines on both sides of carriageway, across the frontage of number 62 Ruxley Lane to prevent dangerous and obstructive parking. The decision is to proceed as advertised.

### **Elm Way and Court Farm Avenue**

#### **Overview:**

- Drawing number 6
- Objections: 1
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

#### **Analysis:**

The objection relates to removing parking for residents, however we feel that this is a safety issue. This proposal is to introduce double yellow lines at the junction to prevent obstructive parking, improve access and increase forward visibility. The decision is to proceed as advertised.

# West Ewell division proposals

The county councillor for this division is [Mrs Jan Mason](#).

## Ewell

### Scotts farm Road

#### Overview:

- Drawing numbers 07 and 08
- Objections: 7
- Other comments: 1
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

#### Analysis:

The objections relate to taking away parking along Scotts Farm Road, however the double yellow lines have been proposed in areas where vehicles generally do not park and those that do cause an obstruction. The proposal is to introduce double yellow lines on the eastern side and at pinch points to prevent obstructive parking. Bus services have difficulty negotiating this road when vehicles park in these locations. The other comment asked for additional restrictions, which we advertised as an addition part way through by letter dropping to affected residents. No negative feedback was received as part of this additional proposal. The decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

### Poole Road

#### Overview:

- Drawing number 08
- No feedback received
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

#### Analysis:

There was no feedback received for this proposal to revoke existing restrictions that could not be implemented. The decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

### Vernon Close

#### Overview:

- Drawing number 9
- Objections: 1
- Final decision is to proceed with amendments.

#### Analysis:

The objection states that residents are intelligent enough to leave space for vehicles to travel along Vernon Close unhindered, however the main issue is with parents picking up pupils from local schools who do block the road. The decision has been made to proceed with a minor amendment to reduce the length of the proposed double yellow lines.

## Epsom

### Sandy Mead

#### Overview:

- Drawing number 17
- Objections: 3

- Other: 1
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

**Analysis:**

Two of the objections are saying cars will be offset elsewhere on the estate and one objection is saying we need to look at the estate as a whole. The other comment wants restrictions extended at Hunter Court. The junctions and accesses need to be kept clear for all vehicles therefore the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

**Jackson Way****Overview:**

- Drawing number 17
- Objections: 2
- Other: 1
- Support: 1
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

**Analysis:**

The objections and other comment are asking for restrictions opposite the proposals that have been advertised. We cannot do that at this stage as it would mean that we need to advertise those proposals. The decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

# Ewell division proposals

The county councillor for this division is [Mr John Beckett](#).

## Stoneleigh

### Cumnor Gardens

#### Overview:

- Drawing number 10
- Objections: 1
- Final decision is to proceed with amendments

#### Analysis:

The one objection that we received suggested changing the proposals, so that each end of Cumnor Gardens has restrictions of Monday to Friday 8.30 to 10.30am, making it less restrictive but preventing all day parking. It has been agreed to proceed with these amendments

## Ewell

### Kingston Road

#### Overview:

- Drawing number 11
- Support: 2
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

#### Analysis:

We received two comments supporting the proposal to extend the current double yellow lines at the junction with Elm Road, South-westwards to improve forward visibility when leaving Elm Road.

Also to introduce double yellow lines opposite Shorecroft Road so that vehicles exiting Shorecroft are not confronted with vehicles having to drive on the wrong side of the road to avoid obstructive parking. The decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

### Fairfax Avenue and Aragon Avenue

#### Overview:

- Drawing number 13
- No feedback received
- Final decision is to proceed with amendments

#### Analysis:

Having received feedback and petitions, we have studied them thoroughly. The overall requests seem to be from residents of one road trying to get restrictions amended in adjoining roads, when the majority of residents in the adjoining roads do not want changes. It has therefore been decided not to go ahead with any of the proposals at this stage apart from the removal of a small section of yellow lines outside number 40 Fairfax Avenue, at the request of that resident.

### Ewell Bypass service road

#### Overview:

- Drawing number 14
- No feedback received
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

**Analysis:**

We did not receive any feedback regarding the proposal to revoke double yellow lines that were proposed as part of a previous review but could never be implemented.

There were no objections to the removal of the disabled bay outside number 106 as this is no longer required. The decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

**Church Street****Overview:**

- Drawing number 15
- Objection: 1
- Other comments: 1
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

**Analysis:**

As this is a school safety issue it has been decided that we should proceed as advertised with the school keep clear markings.

**Hampton Grove****Overview:**

- Drawing number 16
- No feedback received
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

**Analysis:**

There were no objections to extend the current double yellow lines, to improve access at the junction with Epsom Road and reduce obstructive parking. The decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

**Cheam****Holmwood Close****Overview:**

- Drawing number 12
- No feedback received
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

**Analysis:**

As no feedback has been received for the proposal to introduce double yellow lines on the north-eastern side to prevent obstructive parking. The decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

# Epsom West division proposals

The county councillor for this division is [Mrs Bernie Muir](#).

## Epsom

### Manor Green Road

#### Overview:

- Drawing number 20
- No feedback received
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

#### Analysis:

We received no feedback for this proposal to introduce a single yellow line on the western side between the existing double yellow lines at the West Hill junction and the existing disabled parking bay. Make the disabled parking bay enforceable. The decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

### Christ Church Road (access to Stew Ponds car park)

#### Overview:

- Drawing number 21
- No feedback received
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

#### Analysis:

We received no feedback for this proposal to introduce double yellow lines across the access to the Stew Ponds car park. The decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

## The Greenway

#### Overview:

- Drawing number 27
- Objections: 1
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

#### Analysis:

We did receive one objection to the proposals, but we are introducing this proposal to improve pedestrian safety. The decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

### West Hill permit scheme (Zone R)

#### Overview:

- No Drawing
- No feedback received
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

#### Analysis:

No feedback has been received, it has therefore been decided to allow numbers 2, 4 and 6 West Hill to apply for visitors permits in zone R. The decision is to proceed as advertised.

### Hawthorne Place permit scheme (Zone E)

#### Overview:

- No drawing
- No feedback received
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

**Analysis:**

No feedback has been received, it has therefore been decided to include number 31 Prospect Place, Epsom into this resident permit scheme. The decision is to proceed as advertised.

# Epsom Town and Downs division proposals

The county councillor for this division is [Mr Steven McCormick](#).

## Ewell

### Dorling Drive

#### Overview:

- Drawing number 18
- No feedback received
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

#### Analysis:

No comments were received for this proposal to extend the current double yellow lines on the north-eastern side of Dorling Drive. The decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

### Mill Road

#### Overview:

- Drawing number 19
- Objections: 1
- Final decision is not to proceed

#### Analysis:

The county councillor and Ward councillors have met with many of the local residents who do not support these proposals. It has been suggested that the proposals be taken to the next parking review, as residents are still buying in to the new development and we will not be able to see realistic parking patterns until the development is full. The decision has been made not to proceed.

## Epsom

### Laburnum Road

#### Overview:

- Drawing number 22
- Objections: 6
- Other comments: 1
- Final decision is not to proceed

#### Analysis:

Having received several objections to the proposals, which was to change the northern most parking bay to a disabled parking bay, it has been decided not to progress with the advertised proposal.

### St Martins Avenue and Downs Hill Road

#### Overview:

- Drawing number 23
- Objection: 1
- Other: 2
- Support: 2

- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

**Analysis:**

The overall comments are in favour of the yellow lines proposals but there is a suggestion that more are required. The decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

**Chalk Lane and Woodcote End****Overview:**

- Drawing numbers 24
- Other comment: 1
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

**Analysis:**

Access for fire engines is required along Chalk lane making the amendments to the current parking bays necessary. The decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

**Bucknills Close****Overview:**

- Drawing number 25
- No feedback received
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

**Analysis:**

No comments were received for this proposal to extend the current double yellow line restriction across the frontage of number 4. The decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

**Yew Tree Gardens and Woodcote Side****Overview:**

- Drawing number 26
- Objections: 1
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

**Analysis:**

Only one objection was received for the proposal to introduce double yellow lines at the junction. As this is a safety issue the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

**Treadwell Road****Overview:**

- Drawing number 28
- Objections: 2
- Other comments: 1
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

**Analysis:**

There has been long running communication between residents and the parking team over these proposals, which we feel outweighs any objections received. The decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

**Derby Arms Road****Overview:**

- Drawing number 29
- No feedback received
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

**Analysis:**

No comments were received for this proposal to extend the current double yellow lines. The decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

**Beaconsfield Road****Overview:**

- Drawing number 30
- No feedback received
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

**Analysis:**

No comments were received for this proposal to extend the current double yellow lines. The decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

**Hylands Road and Digdens Rise****Overview:**

- Drawing number 31
- Support: 1
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised.

**Analysis:**

Only one comment received in favour of the proposals to extend the current restrictions. The decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

**Town centre resident permit scheme (Zone G)****Overview:**

- No drawing
- Objections: 3
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

**Analysis:**

Although there are 3 objections to the inclusion of 27A High Street into this resident permit scheme, we do feel that there is adequate room to accommodate one more vehicle. The decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

**Ladbroke Road permit scheme (Zone J)****Overview:**

- No drawing
- No comments received
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

**Analysis:**

No comments were received about including 34 Ashley Road into the resident permit scheme. The decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

# Electric vehicle charging bay proposals

## Stoneleigh

### Rosedale Road

#### Overview:

- Objections: 1
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

#### Analysis:

One objection has been received asking us if we can relocate the proposed bays however having fully examined the area proposed, we feel that this is the most suitable location. The decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

## Epsom

### Kiln Lane

#### Overview:

- No feedback received
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

#### Analysis:

As there has been no feedback received, the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

### Alexandra Road

#### Overview:

- No feedback received
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

#### Analysis:

As there has been no feedback received, the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

### Downs Hill Road Road

#### Overview:

- Objections: 4
- Other: 2
- Support: 2
- Final decision is to proceed with amendments

#### Analysis:

The majority of objections were in relation to the proposed bays proximity to a private driveway and potential issues with the driveway being blocked. As a result of this it has been decided to reduce the number of bays from 4 to 2, ensuring that they will not encroach on private driveways. The decision has been made to proceed with amendments.