

# Woking parking review 2020/21: Decision report

A document explaining our final decisions on proposed parking schemes following public advertisement and feedback

## Contents

Introduction .....	2
Goldsworth East and Horsell division proposals .....	3
Knaphill and Goldsworth West division proposals.....	6
The Byfleets division proposals.....	7
Woking North division proposals.....	10
Woking South division proposals .....	144
Woking South East division proposals .....	17
Woking South West division proposals .....	199
Annex 1 – General information.....	211



## Introduction

This document sets out our final decisions about which schemes should go ahead, with or without changes, as part of our Woking parking review 2020/21.

Our parking proposals were 'advertised' by way of a press notice published in the Surrey Advertiser on Friday 19 March 2021, and there then followed a five week 'objection period' which ended on 23 April 2021, to allow for the public to make representations regarding the proposals. To raise awareness of the proposals, in addition to the press notice we also put up 120 site notices where the new restrictions were proposed, and notified people most directly affected by post. This included two different letters to around 200 residents with proposed new permit schemes, and about 170 notification cards to anyone else at addresses at or close to the remaining proposal locations. Copies of the proposal documents were made available on our website, where there were also online forms for people to use to let us have their views.

We received a total of 374 responses to the proposals via our online form, letters, and emails, on 39 of the new parking scheme proposals.

This report lists all the proposals and presents a summary of the type and number of comments received, our responses where appropriate, and the final decisions and reasons for them for each one. It does not contain a transcript of each objection made, but, as required by the regulations, each comment and objection was read and considered before any final decisions were made.

Only themes considered relevant to the proposals have been mentioned in this summary report. People often raise highway issues that are not part of these proposals, such as:

- Resurfacing, potholes, and highway maintenance.
- Further new or modified parking controls.
- Creation of additional parking spaces in place of grassed areas or verges.
- Speed limits and enforcement, traffic calming, road safety, road layouts and geometry.
- Off street car parks.
- Planning issues.

These are beyond the scope of the parking review and therefore such queries have not been addressed in this analysis. For further information and guidance, please see the [Annex 1](#) at the bottom of this document.

Having advertised our intention to introduce the parking proposals, the regulations allow us to make minor modifications to them before their introduction without the need for further advertisement. Of course, we can also cancel a proposal entirely.

At locations where no objections or comments were received there is no analysis and the proposals will - unless otherwise stated - be introduced 'as advertised' i.e. without any changes from the advertised proposal. Where changes have been made, there will usually be a revised drawing in addition to the written description.

These decisions are now final and there is no appeal stage, although customers can ask us to reconsider any parking controls, whether old or new, at any time as part of the next parking review in the area.

# Goldsworth East and Horsell division proposals

The county councillor for this division is [Mr Lance Spencer](#). The [original drawings on the Woking parking review page](#) are still available on our website for reference.

## Leegate, Tracious Close and Sythwood

### Overview:

- Drawing numbers: 20017, 20131
- Objections: 1
- Other comments: 1
- Support: None
- Final decision: Proceed as advertised

### Analysis

It is recommended to proceed as advertised. The objector was raising the issue that introducing double yellow lines will remove space which is currently used for parking. It is recognised that there is a high demand for the limited amount of parking space in this area, but vehicles parking on the junctions and bends of these roads, blocks the sightlines for other road users. Getting vehicles to park further back from the junctions and away from bends will improve road safety for everybody.

The double yellow in front of the Community Centre and Mosque on the southern side of Tracious Close, and also at the side of the parking bays opposite No's 14 and 15 have been requested by the rubbish collection service as the dustcart is often unable to access that branch of Tracious Close to pick up rubbish.

## Sythwood

### Overview:

- Drawing number: 20017
- Objections: None
- Other comments: None
- Support: None
- Final decision: Proceed as advertised

## Mabel Street (disabled bay)

### Overview:

- Drawing number: 20083
- Objections: None
- Other comments: None
- Support: None
- Final decision: Proceed as advertised

## **Church Street East (Electric Vehicle Charging Points)**

### **Overview:**

- Drawing number: 20075
- Objections: 1
- Other comments: None
- Support: None
- Final decision: Proceed advertised

### **Analysis**

It is recommended to proceed as advertised. The one objection received was not an objection to the advertised proposal, but an expression of disappointment that on-street Electric Vehicle Charging Points (EVCP) are not being proposed over a wider area of the borough in this review. This is only the first trial phase of introducing EVCP's in Woking and, if successful future phases will see them introduced in the suburbs and residential streets across the borough.

## **Upton**

### **Overview:**

- Drawing number: 20104
- Objections: 2
- Other comments: None
- Support: None
- Final decision: Do not proceed

### **Analysis**

It is recommended not to proceed. Requests from individuals for double yellow lines at this location have been received and assessed during the past two Woking parking reviews. It was upon these requests that a scheme was drawn up this time around. Only objections have been received now that they have been advertised and residents appear happy with the status quo.

## **Sythwood. Bampton Close to Venton Way**

### **Overview:**

- Drawing number: 20132
- Objections: 12
- Other comments: 3
- Support: None
- Final decision: Proceed with an amendment

### **Analysis**

It is recommended to proceed with an amendment. Double yellow lines should still be installed on both sides of the junction of Vernon Way and Sythwood, and the junction of Bampton Way and Sythwood to maintain junction and sightlines. A 30 metre gap of unrestricted road space should be left centrally between the junction restrictions on the western side of Sythwood to keep some on street parking in the area.

## **Thornash Road**

### **Overview:**

- Drawing number: 20125
- Objections: 1
- Other comments: None
- Support: None
- Final decision: Proceed as advertised

### **Analysis**

It is recommended to proceed as advertised. The one objection received was not an objection to what was advertised but a request for some existing double yellow lines to be reduced in length on the opposite side of the road. This request has been added to the assessment list for the next Woking parking review.

## **Lych Way (Area 7) TRO Amendment**

### **Overview:**

- Drawing number: None
- Objections: None
- Other comments: None
- Support: None
- Final decision: Proceed as advertised.

# Knaphill and Goldsworth West division proposals

The county councillor for this division is [Saj Hussain](#). The [original drawings on the Woking parking review page](#) are still available on our website for reference.

## Knaphill

### Broadway

#### Overview:

- Drawing number: 20020
- Objections: 1
- Other comments: 1
- Support: 0
- Final decision: Proceed with an amendment

#### Analysis

It is recommended to proceed with an amendment. Install double yellow lines up to the driveway of No.40 Broadway but not beyond.

### Queens Road junction with St Deny's Close.

#### Overview:

- Drawing numbers: 20020
- Objections: None
- Other comments: None
- Support: None
- Final decision: Proceed as advertised

# The Byfleets division proposals

The county councillor for this division is [Amanda Boote](#) The [original drawings on the Woking parking review page](#) are still available on our website for reference.

## Byfleet

### Kings Head Lane

#### Overview:

- Drawing number: 20007
- Objections: 1
- Other comments: 2
- Support: None
- Final decision: Proceed with an amendment

#### Analysis

It is recommended to proceed with an amendment. Double yellow lines should be extended alongside No.5 Chertsey Road up to the boundary of No.1 Kings Head Lane only. Not in front of the houses. The main objective was to prevent parking alongside the full height kerb on the south side of the lane. When vehicles are also parked on the north side it restricts access to the school and sports field causing local congestion.

## High Road

#### Overview:

- Drawing number: 20010
- Objections: 58
- Other comments: 1
- Support: 11
- Final decision: Do not Proceed.

#### Analysis

After much deliberation, and a discussion with the Joint Committee chairman the final decision is that we cannot proceed with the advertised scheme. The Chairman has asked officers and the local councillors to assess at the wider area and put together a scheme for the next parking review that will give residents in neighbouring roads the opportunity to join a potential permit parking scheme. The scheme must also include the parking needs of local businesses, with some limited waiting bays for their customers considered in a future proposal.

## Mill Lane

#### Overview:

- Drawing number: 20010
- Objections: 15
- Other comments: None
- Support: None
- Final decision: Do not proceed

## Analysis

Double yellow lines at this location were originally suggested by a former councillor at Parking Task Group. But there is no support locally for the restriction to be introduced. These are generally quiet residential streets with low traffic movements at low speeds. There have been no recorded accidents on the junction of Mill Lane and Wey Barton.

## Sopwith Drive, parts of Parvis Road and parts of Viscount Gardens

### Overview:

- Drawing number: 20117, 20130
- Objections: 1
- Other comments: None
- Support: 1
- Final decision: Proceed as advertised

### Analysis

This scheme is the conversion of a Temporary Traffic Regulation Order into a permanent Traffic Regulation Order. These restrictions were introduced on the recommendation of Surrey Police who had to patrol Sopwith Drive during Lockdown in 2020, moving on HGV's that were parking up overnight on the dual carriageway road (Sopwith Drive), making one lane unusable and then camping out at the roadside. Now that traffic volumes have increased as Lockdown measures come to an end, and money has been spent additionally upgrading the footway and cycle track along Sopwith Drive and Parvis Road we don't want to return to having one lane regularly blocked by parked HGV's and the anti-social behaviour that comes with that.

## West Byfleet

### Station Road (disabled bay)

#### Overview:

- Drawing number: 20045
- Objections: None
- Other comments: None
- Support: None
- Final decision: Proceed as advertised

### Station Road (disabled bay)

#### Overview:

- Drawing number: 20047
- Objections: None
- Other comments: 1
- Support: None
- Final decision: Proceed as advertised

**Analysis**

It recommended to proceed as advertised. Converting a length of the existing parking bay into a blue badge holders only space might cause some disappointment for neighbours, but the resident has a valid application.

**Madeira Road (Electric Vehicle Charging Points)****Overview:**

- Drawing number: 20050
- Objections: 98
- Other comments: None
- Support: 1
- Final decision: Do not proceed

**Analysis**

It is recommended not to proceed due to the high number of objections. The redevelopment of the Sheer House site ruled out the preferred location for the introduction of the first EV charging points. This parking bay was the closest one to the amenities of West Byfleet that was also long enough and with a sufficient footway width to accommodate the equipment needed. With it proving so unpopular, other sites in West Byfleet are now being assessed to see if it will be possible to introduce on-street EV Charging Points in the commercial centre of West Byfleet in the next phase of the trial.

# Woking North division proposals

The county councillor for this division is [Riasat Khan](#). The [original drawings on the Woking parking review page](#) are still available on our website for reference.

## College Road and Maybury Hill

### Overview:

- Drawing number: 20042, 20077
- Objections: 1
- Other comments: 3
- Support: 4
- Final decision: Proceed as advertised

### Analysis

Eliminating all parking from the southern side of College Road will improve traffic flow. The opportunity to park on the north side will be reduced, especially at the eastern end of the road. However there is a row of cottages between Frailey Hill and Oak Lane that do not have any off street parking. Two lengths of unrestricted space have been left for these residents, either side of the existing restrictions either side of the Frailey Hill junction.

It is recommended to proceed with the introduction of the no loading at any time restriction at the eastern end of College Road and on Maybury Hill. Loading/ Unloading in this area at any time causes significant congestion in the surrounding area.

## Elm Road

### Overview:

- Drawing number: 20065
- Objections: None
- Other comments: None
- Support: 3
- Final decision: Proceed as advertised

### Analysis

There is support are no objections to the upgrading of the existing single yellow line to double yellow lines.

## Woodham Road junction with Brockenhurst Close

### Overview:

- Drawing number: 20061
- Objections: None
- Other comments: 2
- Support: 1
- Final decision: Proceed as advertised

## Analysis

There are no objections to the introduction of these double yellow lines, but there are requests for additional parking controls which can be considered in the next parking review.

## Eve Road (disabled bays)

### Overview:

- Drawing number: 20067
- Objections: None
- Other comments: None
- Support: None
- Final decision: Proceed as advertised

## Arnold and Eve Roads permit scheme

### Overview:

- Drawing number: 20067, 20072, 20118
- Objections: 27
- Other comments: None
- Support: 66
- Final decision: Proceed as advertised

## Analysis

It is recommended to proceed as advertised. This was the formal advertising of the introduction of a permit scheme that was approved by the members of the Woking Joint Committee in November 2020 to be advertised and introduced, unless there was a significant number of objections received.

We formally consulted with 169 properties in Arnold Road, Eve Road and Monument Road. All the properties that would be eligible to buy permits under this scheme.

- We have had a total of 93 responses. That's 55% of the total consultees.
- Of the 93 responses, 27 are objections. That's 29% of the total responses, or 16% of the total number of consultees we contacted.
- Proportionally 27 objections or 29% of total responses is not a significant number to not proceed.

Residents also had the opportunity to influence the days and hours that a potential new Permit scheme could operate. Some hours and operational days were suggested, but the full list of nominated hours (left hand column) and the number of votes for each operational day are displayed in the table below. Residents could nominate any hours and days they liked.

Some residents who objected to the scheme, still nominated operational days and hours.

Operational Time nominated	Operational day Monday- Friday	Operational day Monday - Sat	Operational day Everyday
8.30am – 6pm	7	42	6
9.30am – 11.30am	1	None	None
9am – 5pm	None	2	None
8am – 6.30pm	None	3	None
8am – 6pm	None	2	None
8.30am – 8.30pm	None	3	None
8.30am – 8pm	None	None	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>7</b>

The most popular operational days nominated by residents is Monday to Saturday.

The most popular operational hours nominated by residents is 8.30am to 6pm.

This will be the operational days and hours on the new permit scheme.

The two car parks at the eastern end of Arnold Road are not part of the public highway. Woking Borough Council will need to make off-street car parks order for the same days and operational hours so that can be included in the scheme.

## Princess Road junction with Princess Gardens

### Overview:

- Drawing number: 20072
- Objections: None
- Other comments: None
- Support: None
- Final decision: Proceed as advertised

## North Road

### Overview:

- Drawing number: 20076
- Objections: None
- Other comments: None
- Support: None
- Final decision: Proceed as advertised

## Walton Road (disabled bay)

### Overview:

- Drawing number: 20076
- Objections: None
- Other comments: None
- Support: None
- Final decision: Proceed as advertised

## **Maybury Road (Electric Vehicle Charging Points)**

### **Overview:**

- Drawing number: 20080
- Objections: 1
- Other comments: None
- Support: None
- Final decision: Proceed as advertised

### **Analysis**

The one objection received was not an objection to the advertised proposal, but an expression of disappointment that on-street Electric Vehicle Charging Points (EVCP) are not being proposed over a wider area of the borough in this review. This is only the first trial phase of introducing EVCP's in Woking and, if successful future phases will see them introduced in the suburbs and residential streets across the borough.

# Woking South division proposals

The county councillor for this division is [Will Forster](#). The [original drawings on the Woking parking review page](#) are still available on our website for reference.

## Hillside

### Overview:

- Drawing number: 20001
- Objections: None
- Other comments: None
- Support: 2
- Final decision: Proceed as advertised

### Analysis

There is support and no objections to the introduction of these additional double yellow lines.

## Kingfield Drive

### Overview:

- Drawing number: 20027
- Objections: 1
- Other comments: None
- Support: None
- Final decision: Proceed as advertised

### Analysis

Maintaining access into and out of Kingfield Drive at all times must be priority, especially if there was an emergency. At the end of the road there is a private parking area for residents. Although it has some garages, there is still parking space for up to 12 vehicles. With a parking restriction introduced on the northern side of Kingfield Drive it should help deter non-residents from casually parking in the street. The objector is interested in a permit scheme being introduced to Kingfield Drive. Information on permit schemes will be sent out.

## Old School Place

### Overview:

- Drawing number: 20033
- Objections: 3
- Other comments: 2
- Support: 4
- Final decision: Proceed with an amendment

### Analysis

Having spoken with the Transport Development Planning Officer, the Bustler Bus operation has now moved out of Moorcroft. This has removed the parked vehicles currently associated with this business. There is potential for over spill parking from the Doctors surgery to remain during the day. Considering the feedback that has been received from local residents it is recommended to install a single yellow line that will operate Monday to Friday 9am to 5pm in place of the advertised double

yellow line. This will prevent Monday to Friday daytime parking, but still permit overnight and weekend on-street parking for residents and their guests.

## **Oriental Road (Electric Vehicle Charging Points)**

### **Overview:**

- Drawing number: 20086
- Objections: 1
- Other comments: None
- Support: None
- Final decision: Proceed as advertised

### **Analysis**

The one objection received was not an objection to the advertised proposal, but an expression of disappointment that on-street Electric Vehicle Charging Points (EVCP) are not being proposed over a wider area of the borough in this review. This is only the first trial phase of introducing EVCP's in Woking and, if successful future phases will see them introduced in the suburbs and residential streets across the borough.

## **Montgomery Road (Electric Vehicle Charging Points)**

### **Overview:**

- Drawing number: 20091
- Objections: 1
- Other comments: None
- Support: None
- Final decision: Proceed as advertised

### **Analysis**

The one objection received was not an objection to the advertised proposal, but an expression of disappointment that on-street Electric Vehicle Charging Points (EVCP) are not being proposed over a wider area of the borough in this review. This is only the first trial phase of introducing EVCP's in Woking and, if successful future phases will see them introduced in the suburbs and residential streets across the borough.

## **Thorsden Close (disabled bay)**

### **Overview:**

- Drawing number: 20096
- Objections: 2
- Other comments: 4
- Support: 2
- Final decision: Proceed as advertised

### **Analysis**

The resident has a valid blue badge and a need to be able to park close to their home. It is recognised that parking space is at a premium in the close, which is why an enforceable disabled bay is necessary and it will be marked 'end on' as per the current parking pattern to minimise its

impact. Residents are encouraged to join together and submit a petition for their addresses to be included in the existing permit scheme that surrounds Thorsden Close if that is what the majority want. Information on the Permit Scheme and how Thorsden Close can be included in it, will be posted to all properties.

## **Woodhatch Grove, Rydens Way**

### **Overview:**

- Drawing number: 20128
- Objections: None
- Other comments: None
- Support: 10
- Final decision: Proceed as advertised

### **Analysis**

There is only support and no objections to the introduction of these double yellow lines.

# Woking South East division proposals

The county councillor for this division is [Liz Bowes](#). The [original drawings on the Woking parking review page](#) are still available on our website for reference

## Lovelace Drive

### Overview:

- Drawing number: 20035
- Objections: 2
- Other comments: 2
- Support: 2
- Final decision: Proceed as advertised

### Analysis

This restriction will prevent cars parking up on the footway opposite the shopping parade, improving access to properties and manoeuvring into and out of the parking bays outside the shops. Additional restrictions around adjacent kerbs and accesses which some responders have requested can be assessed in the next parking review.

Due to a disrupted school year it was not possible to assess school related parking along Coldharbour Road for this review. It is scheduled for this area to be assessed in the next parking review.

## Shaftesbury Road

### Overview:

- Drawing number: 20082
- Objections: None
- Other comments: None
- Support: 1
- Final decision: Proceed as advertised

### Analysis

There is only support and no objections to the introduction of these additional double yellow lines.

## Oriental Road

### Overview:

- Drawing number: 20086
- Objections: None
- Other comments: None
- Support: None
- Final decision: Proceed as advertised

## **Heathside Park Road**

### **Overview:**

- Drawing number: 20092
- Objections: 1
- Other comments: None
- Support: 2
- Final decision: Proceed as advertised

### **Analysis**

Extending the double yellow lines will improve visibility for the residents using their driveway and keep the all- day parking further away from the junction with White Rose Lane.

# Woking South West division proposals

The county councillor for this division is [Ayesha Azad](#). The [original drawings on the Woking parking review page](#) are still available on our website for reference.

## Hook Heath Road

### Overview:

- Drawing number: 20010, 20013
- Objections: 2
- Other comments: 2
- Support: 3
- Final decision: Proceed as advertised

### Analysis

The double yellow lines will improve traffic flow and road safety at the northern end of Hook Heath Road. They will prevent vehicle parking 'bunched up' around the northern end of the road.

Objections were raised about loss of parking space. Plenty of on-street parking space will remain, it will however be distributed over a longer length of Hook Heath Road as one of the responses says.

## Oakway

### Overview:

- Drawing number: 20023
- Objections: None
- Other comments: None
- Support: 1
- Final decision: Proceed as advertised

### Analysis

There is only support and no objections to the introduction of these double yellow lines.

## Gorsewood Road

### Overview:

- Drawing number: 20024
- Objections: None
- Other comments: 1
- Support: None
- Final decision: Proceed as advertised

### Analysis

There are no objections to the introduction of those double yellow lines. Introducing these restrictions will improve road safety on the junction.

## **Sutton Avenue**

### **Overview**

- Drawing number: 20024
- Objections: None
- Other comments: 1
- Support: 3
- Final decision: Proceed as advertised

### **Analysis**

There are no objections to the introduction of these double yellow lines. Requests for more parking controls can be considered in the next parking review.

## Annex 1 – General information

This annex contains information about topics that are quite often raised by people when making comments in response to parking proposals, but are generally things that are not considered within a parking review, or not possible to consider at that time.

### Speed limits, traffic calming, and speed enforcement

Speed limits are introduced by the county council provided Surrey Police agree with the limit proposed. Further information about speed limits can be found on our website at:

[Speed limits](#)

Speed limits and traffic calming measures are considered by our local area highway team, and you can raise queries regarding these subjects using the contact details below on the next page. The police are the only authority with powers to enforce speed limits.

### Road safety and sustainable travel for schools

Surrey County Council provides a range of services to help schools on this matter, more information can be found at:

[Road safety and sustainable travel for schools](#)

### Creation of additional parking space on verges or grassed areas

This is not something that is considered by the parking team or within a parking review. Unfortunately, the council has essentially no funding to carry out this type of work at the current time, but any requests for these types of schemes would need to be considered by the local area highway team, who can be reached via the contact details on the next page.

### Requests for permit parking schemes

We can consider introducing permit parking schemes under appropriate circumstances. However, such significant changes can't be considered based on only one or two comments. Anyone wanting to find out more about permit parking should first look at our webpage which explains where, why, and how a scheme could be introduced, and how they work, at:

[Permit parking schemes](#)

Having read that information, any customers interested in pursuing the idea of permit parking further, should consider raising a parking scheme request form (petition), as explained online at:

[The parking review process](#)

### Requests for additional parking controls

Due to the legal processes involved, we cannot generally consider further parking restrictions over and above those already 'advertised'. The best way to put forward any ideas for new parking controls is to raise them to be considered as part of the next parking review in the area. Information about parking reviews, including how and why we do them, and how to raise any further requests, is available on our website at:

[The parking review process](#)

## Enforcement

Parking controls on street across Woking Borough are administered and enforced by Woking Borough Council on our behalf. They also enforce their own public off street car parks. If you have any queries about this, you may reach them on:

- Switchboard Telephone: 01483 755855 (Monday to Friday 9am to 4.45pm)
- [Woking Borough Council parking web page](#)

## General enquiries

Any other enquiries regarding highways can be raised via the electronic forms on our website:

[Contact our roads and transport service](#)

Or using the contact details below:

- Telephone: 0300 200 1003 (9am to 5pm, Monday to Friday, excluding bank holidays. Emergencies only at all other times)
- Email: [contactcentre@surreycc.gov.uk](mailto:contactcentre@surreycc.gov.uk)
- Textphone (via Text Relay): 18001 0300 200 1003
- SMS: 07860 053 465
- Fax: 020 8541 9575
- Address: Surrey County Council, Woodhatch Place, 11 Cockshot Hill, Woodhatch, Reigate, RH2 8EF.